CCTRA RESOLUTION NO. 2011- 3064 -11-14

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF COLLIN

COLLIN COUNTY TOLL ROAD AUTHORITY
MEETING MINUTES
OCTOBER 24, 2011

On Monday, October 24, 2011, the Collin County Toll Road Authority Board of Directors met in Regular Session in the Commissioners' Courtroom, Jack Hatchell Collin County Administration Building, 4th Floor, 2300 Bloomdale Road, City of McKinney, Texas, with the following members present, and participating, to wit:

President Keith Self
Precinct 1 Director Matt Shaheen
Precinct 2 Director Cheryl Williams (absent)
Precinct 3 Director Joe Jaynes
Precinct 4 Director Duncan Webb

1. President Self called to order the meeting of the **Collin County Toll Road Authority** at 1:31 p.m.

2. Consent agenda to approve: President Self asked for comments on the consent agenda. Hearing none, Director Shaheen made a motion to approve. (Time: 1:31 p.m.)

Motion by: Director Matt Shaheen
Second by: Director Duncan Webb
Vote: 4-0 Passed

a. AI-34404 Filing of the October 3, 2011, Minutes, County Clerk.
CCTRA RESOLUTION NO. 2011-3061-10-24

GENERAL DISCUSSION

3. AI-34422 Update of the Technically Preferred Alignment Options for the Collin County Outer Loop, Segment 3, Section 1 from the Dallas North Tollway (DNT) to FM 2478, Engineering.

Ruben Delgado, County Engineer, came forward. On August 1, 2011, the Commissioners' Court voted to approve the technically approved alignment for segment 3, Section 1, from DNT to FM 2478. The brown route was adopted but staff was directed to look at an alternate route that would combine the brown and orange routes. CH2MHill is the engineering firm for the project. Will Barresi from CH2MHill was present with an updated matrix for the Court and the audience. A map was on display with the various alignments designated by color. Mr. Barresi started with a summary of the meeting of August 1, 2011. At that time Mr. Barresi was asked to return to Court with a hybrid option, which was a combination of the orange alignment and the brown

alignment. The yellow alignment was created to blend the orange with the brown alignments. Mr. Barresi noted that a landowner offered to dedicate 32 acres if the orange alignment was selected. Following a meeting on September 19, 2011, with landowners in and around Summerview Estates, a purple alignment was reconsidered which would run closer to CR 88, south of Summerview Estates. Mr. Barresi presented the Court with a slide comparing each alignment followed by a plus or minus designation. The next step is for the CCTRA to decide on the preferred alignment from DNT to FM 2478.

President Self asked Celina Mayor Jim Lewis to come forward. The Mayor said the City of Celina does not support the yellow alignment. Originally, when this project was presented, the City of Celina thought the alignment would follow CR 188. At that time they got donations of land from Carter Ranch, and the City of Celina would like to keep their promise to the Carter Ranch. A discussion followed between Mayor Lewis and Mr. Barresi on the pros and cons of the various alignments.

Michael Phipps, a homeowner on Hackberry Circle in Celina, said his property is where the orange alignment hits the yellow alignment. He said if that alignment is chosen, it would completely wipe out his million dollar property. Mr. Phipps felt the purple alignment would affect less people.

Patty Young, CR 87, Celina, agreed with Mr. Phipps' comments. Mr. Barresi said by way of clarification, they looked at values for land and structures separately.

Burl Wood, CR 88, Celina, was present to support the purple alignment. He said the brown alignment would wipe his property out. He questioned the matrix which listed 22 resident property owners; he saw 40 pieces of property affected. Mr. Wood said some landowners bought their property with the idea that it would become commercial; others bought it for a quiet country lifestyle. Mr. Wood felt that the Court should talk to everybody who is affected.

Sandy Schmidt also lives on CR 87 in Celina, directly next to the brown alignment. Ms. Schmidt said the route would go literally next to her house. It won't affect her property, but she would have a freeway right next to her home. She said they bought their home with the intention of retiring there. Ms. Schmidt also preferred the purple alignment.

Mr. Barresi returned to the podium to answer questions from Court members. Commissioner Jaynes asked if some of these problems would be mitigated by reducing the 500 feet of right-of-way. One hundred feet of the right-of-way was for rail and the Commissioner doubted that we would ever see rail. Mr. Barresi said it would affect less acreage. Mr. Belknap is the landowner who was willing to dedicate 32 acres if the orange alignment was selected. Any other alignment would bisect his property. Mr. Belknap's son-in-law, Brad Colley, was present to confirm that that information was correct. Following lengthy discussion, Mr. Barresi stated the purple alignment has never been presented in a public meeting. Commissioner Shaheen wants counts to be

redone, and if the purple alignment is less disruptive, he wanted to select that option. Commissioner Webb felt if the Court was going to do that, then they should start the process all over so all the property owners can come and express their concerns. Commissioner Shaheen agreed and then asked for a matrix with just the brown and purple alignments. Mr. Delgado agreed with the need for a new public hearing. Commissioner Jaynes thanked everyone for their input. (Time: 2:27 p.m.)

NO ACTION TAKEN

EXECUTIVE SESSION

There being no reason to recess into Executive Session and no further business of the Board of Directors, President Self adjourned the meeting at 2:27 p.m.

Keith Self, President

Matt Shaheen, Director

Cheryl Williams, Director

Joe Jaynes, Director

Duncan Webb, Director