## TCDRS Retirement Presentation

FY2020 Budget Workshop

## Benefits Provided

Deposit Rate ..... 7\%
Matching Rate ..... 200\%*
Vesting Period ..... 8 years
Retirement Eligibility- Age 60 \& 8 years of service (Vesting)- At any age with 30 years of service- Age + years of service = 75
Guaranteed Interest Rate ..... 7\%

## County Comparison

| County | Employee Contribution \% | County Match \% | Years of Service for Vesting | Retirement Eligibility Rule | Most Recent Retiree COLA | Type and Rate of Retiree COLA | Group Term Life Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collin | 7 | 200 | 8 | 75 | 2018 | CPI 40\% | Active \& Retiree |
| Bexar* | 7 | 200 | 8 | 75 | 2018 | CPI 10\% | No |
| Dallas | 7 | 200 | 10 | 80 | - | - | No |
| Denton* | 7 | 225 | 8 | 75 | 2017 | CPI 80\% | Active |
| El Paso* | 7 | 250 | 8 | 75 | 2016 | Flat 1\% | No |
| Fort Bend | 7 | 200 | 8 | 75 | 2014 | CPI 10\% | No |
| Harris | 7 | 225 | 8 | 75 | - | - | No |
| Hidalgo* | 7 | 200 | 8 | 75 | 2019 | Flat 2\% | No |
| Montgomery | 6 | 250 | 8 | 75 | 2017 | CPI 10\% | No |
| Tarrant | 7 | 200 | 8 | 75 | 2019 | Flat 1\% | No |
| Travis | 7 | 225 | 8 | 75 | 2019 | CPI 50\% | No |
| Williamson | 7 | 250 | 8 | 75 | 2019 | Flat 1\% | No |

*Allows 20 years of service for retirement at any age, all others require 30 years of service for retirement at any age.

## City Comparison

| City | Employee Contribution \% | City <br> Match \% | Years of Service for Vesting | Retirement Eligibility Rule | Rate of Retiree COLA** | Retiree Death Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allen | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 70\% | Active \& Retiree |
| Frisco | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 70\% | Active \& Retiree |
| McKinney | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 70\% | Active \& Retiree |
| Plano | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 70\% | No |
| Richardson* | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 50\% | No |
| Wylie | 7 | 200 | 5 | Age 60+5 yrs. | CPI 70\% | Active \& Retiree |

*Requires 25 years of service for retirement at any age, all others only require 20 years of service.
**All surveyed cities have adopted a repeating COLA that remains in effect until recinded

## Portfolio Rate of Return - TCDRS

| Year | Return |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $26.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | $12.6 \%$ |
| 2011 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | $12.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | $16.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | $14.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | $-1.9 \%$ |


| Total fund return as of $12 / 2018$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 year | $-1.9 \%$ |
| 3 years | $6.6 \%$ |
| 5 years | $5.1 \%$ |
| 10 years | $9.0 \%$ |
| 20 years | $6.4 \%$ |
| 30 years | $8.0 \%$ |

## Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TCDRS


$8 \%$ return required to cover guaranteed return

## 10 Year Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TCDRS

| Year | Required Account <br> Value | Required Rate of <br> Return | Required Ending <br> Balance | Actual Account <br> Value | Actual Rate of <br> Return | Actual Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 265$ | $\$ 1,265$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 86$ | $\$ 1,166$ | $\$ 1,265$ | $\$ 159$ | $\$ 1,424$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 1,166$ | $\$ 93$ | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$ 1,424$ | $-\$ 17$ | $\$ 1,407$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$ 101$ | $\$ 1,360$ | $\$ 1,407$ | $\$ 177$ | $\$ 1,584$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 1,360$ | $\$ 109$ | $\$ 1,469$ | $\$ 1,584$ | $\$ 260$ | $\$ 1,844$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 1,469$ | $\$ 118$ | $\$ 1,587$ | $\$ 1,844$ | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 1,969$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 1,587$ | $\$ 127$ | $\$ 1,714$ | $\$ 1,969$ | $-\$ 14$ | $\$ 1,955$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 1,714$ | $\$ 137$ | $\$ 1,851$ | $\$ 1,955$ | $\$ 147$ | $\$ 2,102$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 1,851$ | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 1,999$ | $\$ 2,102$ | $\$ 309$ | $\$ 2,411$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 1,999$ | $\$ 160$ | $\$ 2,159$ | $\$ 2,411$ | $-\$ 46$ | $\$ 2,365$ |

## 5 Year Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TCDRS

| Year | Required Account <br> Value | Required Rate of <br> Return | Required Ending <br> Balance | Actual Account <br> Value | Actual Rate of <br> Return | Actual Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 68$ | $\$ 1,068$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 86$ | $\$ 1,166$ | $\$ 1,068$ | $-\$ 7$ | $\$ 1,061$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 1,166$ | $\$ 93$ | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$ 1,061$ | $\$ 80$ | $\$ 1,141$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$ 101$ | $\$ 1,360$ | $\$ 1,141$ | $\$ 168$ | $\$ 1,309$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 1,360$ | $\$ 109$ | $\$ 1,469$ | $\$ 1,309$ | $-\$ 25$ | $\$ 1,284$ |

## 10 Year Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TCDRS



## 5 Year Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TCDRS



## Portfolio Rate of Return - TMRS

| Year | Return |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 2011 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | $10.1 \%$ |
| 2013 | $9.9 \%$ |
| 2014 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | $-2.1 \%$ |


| Total fund return as of $12 / 2018$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 year | $-2.1 \%$ |
| 3 years | $6.3 \%$ |
| 5 years | $5.0 \%$ |
| 10 years | $6.6 \%$ |

## Rate of Return (Illustration Only) - TMRS


$6.75 \%$ return required to cover guaranteed return

## Cost

Year Normal Rate (\%) UAAL Rate (\%)
COLA Rate (\%)
Total (\%)
County Contribution (\%)
Made a lump sum payment of $\$ 5,000,000$ in October 2010*

| 2011 | 9.38 | 3.43 | . 08 | 12.89 | 13.50 (original) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 7.59 | 2.92 | . 08 | 10.59 | 13.50 (revised) |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$35,500,000 in October 2011* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 7.57 | 2.90 | . 07 | 10.54 | 13.50 (original) |
| 2012 | 7.57 | 0.00 | . 07 | 7.64 | 7.70 (revised) |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$2,045,000 in October 2012* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 7.56 | 0.45 | . 05 | 8.06 | 8.50 (original) |
| 2013 | 7.56 | 0.00 | . 05 | 7.61 | 8.50 (revised) |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$11,608,062 in November 2013* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 7.55 | 0.51 | . 00 | 8.06 | 8.50 (original) |
| 2014 | 7.55 | 0.00 | . 00 | 7.55 | 8.50 (revised) |
| 2015 | 7.43 | -1.22 | . 00 | 6.21 | 8.00 |
| 2016 | 7.44 | -1.73 | . 00 | 5.71 | 8.00 |
| 2017 | 7.08 | -0.86 | . 00 | 6.22 | 8.00 |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$2,471,616 in December 2017* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 7.09 | -0.32 | . 00 | 6.77 | 8.00 |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$1,160,641 in October 2018* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 6.94 | 0.01 | . 00 | 6.95 | 8.00 (budgeted) |
| 2020 | 6.93 | 0.91 | . 00 | 7.84 |  |

* Lump sum payments were made to reduce the unfunded actuarial liability and/or fund an adopted COLA


## County Rate Comparison

| County | 2019 Required Rate | 2019 Elected Rate | 2020 Required Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collin | $6.95 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ | $7.84 \%$ |
| Bexar * | $13.51 \%$ | $13.61 \%$ | $13.86 \%$ |
| Dallas | $12.43 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $13.36 \%$ |
| Denton | $13.16 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $13.78 \%$ |
| El Paso | $16.97 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $17.90 \%$ |
| Fort Bend | $11.86 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $12.49 \%$ |
| Harris | $14.19 \%$ | $15.10 \%$ | $14.92 \%$ |
| Hidalgo | $11.91 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $12.73 \%$ |
| Montgomery | $10.81 \%$ | $12.27 \%$ | $11.23 \%$ |
| Tarrant | $13.92 \%$ | $19.50 \%$ | $14.11 \%$ |
| Travis * | $14.92 \%$ | $14.91 \%$ | $16.19 \%$ |
| Williamson | $13.90 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 14.5 |
|  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## City Rate Comparison

| City | 2019 Required Rate | 2020 Required Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allen | $14.18 \%$ | $14.15 \%$ |
| Frisco | $14.34 \%$ | $14.20 \%$ |
| McKinney | $15.20 \%$ | $15.03 \%$ |
| Plano | $16.67 \%$ | $16.71 \%$ |
| Richardson | $14.44 \%$ | $14.72 \%$ |
| Wylie | $14.96 \%$ | $14.86 \%$ |

TMRS does not have elected rates. Cities contribute the required rate but have the option to make additional payments during the year.

## Employer Contributions

| Calendar Year | Payment Type | Total Employer Deposits | Contributing Employees | Cost Per Employee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{gathered} \$ 11,642,578 \\ \$ 1,130,000 \end{gathered}$ | 1786 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 6,519 \\ \$ 633 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2010 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 11,770,220 \\ & \$ 5,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | 1755 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,707 \\ & \$ 2,849 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2011 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 11,699,770 \\ & \$ 35,500,000 \end{aligned}$ | 1790 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 6,536 \\ \$ 19,832 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2012 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,702,402 \\ & \$ 2,045,000 \end{aligned}$ | 1811 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,701 \\ & \$ 1,129 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2013 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,437,259 \\ \$ 11,608,062 \end{array}$ | 1824 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4,077 \\ & \$ 6,364 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | Standard Payment | \$7,222,299 | 1848 | \$3,908 |
| 2015 | Standard Payment | \$7,368,276 | 1854 | \$3,974 |
| 2016 | Standard Payment | \$7,652,829 | 1898 | \$4,032 |
| 2017 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 8,045,603 \\ & \$ 2,471,616 \end{aligned}$ | 1943 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4,141 \\ & \$ 1,272 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2018 | Standard Payment Lump Sum Payment | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 8,721,011 \\ & \$ 1,160,641 \end{aligned}$ | 1943 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,488 \\ \$ 597 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009-2018 | Total Payments | \$147,177,566 | 1845 | \$79,771 |

## Unfunded Liability Update

- Currently there is unfunded liability using the 5-year asset recognition method.
- As of December 2018, our plan has $\$ 13,274,348$ of unfunded liability which is a funded ratio of $97.6 \%$.
- Gains or losses that are smoothed are not reflected in the overfunded/unfunded liability. If an immediate asset recognition method were used instead of a delayed asset recognition the unfunded liability would be $\$ 60,434,843$, which is a funded ratio of $89.3 \%$.
- The minimum required contribution rate for 2020 is $7.84 \%$.
- TCDRS failed to meet their investment return goal of $8 \%$ for 2018. Actual return was $-1.9 \%$. Actuarial gains and losses are smoothed over 5 years and will be recognized over time.


## Liability History

| Calendar Year End | Budget <br> Year | 5 Yr. Asset <br> Recognition <br> Unfunded Liability | 5 Yr. Asset <br> Recognition <br> Funded Percentage | Immediate Asset <br> Unfunded Liability <br> Recognition | Immediate Asset <br> Recognition | Notes Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Made a lump sum payment of \$1,130,000 in October 2009

| 2009 | 2011 | \$40,273,716 | 84.5\% | No Data | No Data | before reduced match |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 2011 | \$36,309,269 | 85.8\% | No Data | No Data | with reduced match |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$5,000,000 in October 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2012 | \$34,553,355 | 87.5\% | No Data | No Data |  |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$35,500,000 in October 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2013 | \$2,044,817 | 99.0\% | 30,199,573 | 90\% |  |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$2,045,000 in October 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 2014 | \$2,794,438 | 99.1\% | 11,608,062 | 96\% |  |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$11,608,062 in November 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2015 | \$(14,704,583) | 104.5\% | (32,286,282 | 109.8\% |  |
| 2014 | 2016 | \$(21,504,628) | 106.2\% | $(27,012,753)$ | 107.8\% |  |
| 2015 | 2017 | \$(11,689,647) | 103.1\% | 23,468,041 | 93.7\% |  |
| 2016 | 2018 | \$(4,076,091) | 100.8\% | 22,292,175 | 95.5\% |  |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$2,471,616 in December 2017* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 2019 | \$1,160,641 | 99.8\% | 3,114,182 | 99.4\% |  |
| Made a lump sum payment of \$1,160,641 in October 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 2020 | \$13,274,348 | 97.6\% | 60,434,843 | 89\% |  |

[^1]
## Unfunded Liability

| Actual Unfunded Liability as of $12 / 31 / 2017$ | $(\$ 1,161,000)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjustment due to Decrease in Discount Period | $(\$ 93,000)$ |
| Scheduled OAAL Drawdown | $(\$ 200,000)$ |
| Recognition of Investment Gains and Losses for 2014-2018 (Using the Five-Year Recognition <br> Method) | $(\$ 12,392,000)$ |
| Gain due to Additional Employer Contributions (Elected Rate Greater than Required Rate) | $\$ 1,302,000$ |
| Gain due to Additional Employer Contributions (Lump Sum Contribution) | $\$ 1,161,000$ |
| Loss due to Greater than Expected Salary Increases | $(\$ 1,113,000)$ |
| Gain due to Termination and Withdrawal Experience | $\$ 190,000$ |
| Gain due to Retirements Different than Expected (Includes Disability) | $\$ 7,000$ |
| Loss due to Less than Expected Retiree Mortality | $(\$ 975,000)$ |
| Net Actuarial Gains from All Other Sources (Active Death, Proportionate Service, etc.) | $\$ 0$ |
| Actual Underfunded Liability as of 12/31/2018 | $(\$ 13,274,000)$ |

## Unfunded Liability History

|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Over/Underfunded Liability as of December 31 | $(\$ 14,705,000)$ | $(\$ 21,505,000)$ | \$11,690,000 | \$4,076,000 | (\$1,161,000) |
| Adjustment due to Decrease in Discount Period | (\$1,323,000) | $(\$ 1,935,000)$ | \$935,000 | \$326,000 | $(\$ 93,000)$ |
| Scheduled OAAL Drawdown | \$520,000 | \$1,076,000 | $(\$ 1,248,000)$ | $(\$ 841,000)$ | $(\$ 200,000)$ |
| Recognition of Investment Gains and Losses for Previous Five Years (Using the Five-Year Recognition Method) | $(2,899,000)$ | \$9,337,000 | (\$11,370,000) | (\$2,817,000) | $(12,392,000)$ |
| Gain/Loss due to Additional Employer Contributions (Elected Rate greater than Required Rate) | (\$979,000) | (\$1,599,000) | \$2,121,000 | \$1,737,000 | 1,302,000 |
| Gain/Loss due to Additional Employer Contributions (Lump Sum Contribution) |  |  |  | \$2,472,000 | 1,161,000 |
| Gain/Loss due to Adoption of 40\% CPI-based COLA |  |  |  | (\$2,472,000) |  |
| Gain/Loss due to Change in Actuarial Assumptions and Methods |  | \$4,364,000 |  | (\$3,189,000) |  |
| Gain/Loss due to Greater/Less than Expected Salary Increases |  |  |  | $(\$ 605,000)$ | $(1,113,000)$ |
| Gain/Loss due to Lower than Expected Payroll Variation |  |  | (\$590,000) |  |  |
| Gain/Loss due to Greater/Less than Expected Withdrawals |  |  |  | \$74,000 |  |
| Gain/Loss due to Greater/Less than Expected Terminations and Withdrawals | (\$712,000) | $(\$ 831,000)$ | \$956,000 |  | 190,000 |
| Gain/Loss due to More/Fewer than Expected Terminations (Excluding Withdrawals) |  |  |  | $(\$ 149,000)$ |  |
| Gains/Losses due to Retirements Different than Expected (Includes Disability) |  |  | \$250,000 | \$424,000 | 7,000 |
| Gain/Loss due to Less than Expected Retiree Mortality |  |  |  | (\$197,000) | $(975,000)$ |
| Gain/Loss due to Greater/Less than Expected Retiree Mortality |  |  | \$439,000 |  |  |
| Net Actuarial Gains from All Other Sources (Active Death, Proportionate Service, Etc.) | (\$1,407,000) | $(\$ 597,000)$ | \$893,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Actual Under/Overfunded Liability as of December 31 the Following Year | $(\$ 21,505,000)$ | (\$11,690,000) | \$4,076,000 | (\$1,161,000) | $(13,274,000)$ |

## GASB Statement 68

- Requires Collin County to report TCDRS pension liability/assets on the CAFR.
- Reporting requirements do not change how TCDRS plan funding is calculated.
- Repeating Cost of Living Adjustments (COLAs) increase the calculated rate of financial reporting, as they assume annual COLAs for all plan participants continuously into the future and require this pension liability to be reported on the CAFR.
- Any significant volatility experienced in pension liability will be reflected on the CAFR.
- The repeating COLA designation has no effect on the plan funding. It does not impact the plan's funded ratio or the required contribution rate, which are calculated for the purpose of funding the plan.


## GASB Statement 75

- Post employment benefits other than pensions (OPEB)
- Because we covered retirees in 2018, we had a post-employment benefit.
- Our OPEB liability as of December 31, 2018, is $\$ 0$, which will be reported on our September 30, 2019, fiscal year-end financials. The OPEB liability for the previous year was $\$ 3,245,484$.
- For financial reporting purposes only - does not impact costs.


## Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)

- The TCDRS retirement benefit is a fixed benefit payment.
- The costs of goods and services, such as health care expenses, may go up each year due to inflation.
- A Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) restores some of the purchasing power that the benefit loses over time.
- Electing a COLA is only effective for one plan year and is reassessed on a year-to-year basis. Court determines if a cost of living increase will be awarded each year. There are three options:
- Flat Rate COLA: Flat percentage increase is applied to all retiree benefits.
- CPI-based COLA: Based on how much inflation has occurred since each employee retired. Adjustments for one retiree could differ from that of another retiree if they retired at different times.
- No COLA


## Retiree COLA

- COLAs are funded over a 15 -year period.
- Collin County has historically adopted various COLA options including:
- $40 \%$ to $100 \%$ of CPI
- $1 \%-8 \%$ Flat Rate
- No COLA
- The last COLA adopted was $40 \%$ CPI, which was approved for plan year 2018.
- As of the end of December 2018, there were 802 individuals collecting TCDRS benefits, an increase of 43 since the prior year. 343 active employees were eligible to retire, and 337 additional employees will be eligible to retire in the next 5 years.
- Pre-funded cost to add COLA in 2020:

Type of COLA Pre-fund Cost
$40 \%$ of CPI $\$ 3,080,298$
$60 \%$ of CPI $\$ 6,991,875$
$80 \%$ of CPI $\$ 11,311,958$
1\% Flat Rate $\$ 2,294,335$

## Court Determination Budget Information

- Employer contribution rate to remain at 8\%
- Payment of any lump sum contribution for unfunded liability
- Determination of any retiree COLA


[^0]:    * If an elected rate is lower than a required rate, the entity must contribute at least the required rate.

[^1]:    *Lump-sum payment funded COLA for retirees

