

Audit Report JUSTICE OF THE PEACE - PRECINCT 3-1 OCTOBER 1, 2014 – MARCH 31, 2015 Status: Final

For action: Chuck Ruckel

Justice of the Peace

For information:

Jeff May Linda Riggs County Auditor First Assistant Auditor

Audit Report JUSTICE OF THE PEACE - PRECINCT 3-1 OCTOBER 1, 2014 - MARCH 31, 2015

Report Summary

As part of the 2015 Compliance Audit Plan, an audit of the Justice of the Peace - Precinct 3-1 was conducted in accordance with Texas Local Government Code §115.002.

The overall objective of the audit was to provide assurance that internal controls are in place to ensure:

- The officer has collected all the money they are obligated to collect
- The money collected was properly remitted to the appropriate party
- All funds are properly managed
- All money is properly accounted for, accurately reported, and adequately safeguarded
- The operations of the office conform to prescribed procedures
- Exposure to potential risk is minimized

The audit scope included an audit of banking, cash receipts and internal controls. The time period audited was October 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.

Refer to the Observations and Recommendations section, as well as the Appendix for the results of the audit.

This review was not intended to provide absolute assurance on all procedures, activities, or controls. We will continue to examine aspects of the office in compliance with statutes and to provide reasonable assurance that County assets are safeguarded and appropriately managed.

An exit conference with the Justice of the Peace was held on Friday, November 13, 2015 to discuss this report.

The time and assistance provided by the Justice of the Peace and the staff during this engagement is greatly appreciated.

Observation	Recommendation	Management Response
FINDING NUMBER: 136		
Condition:	A. Transaction Required:	A. <u>Response:</u>
Justice Peace 3-1 did not	Identify all traffic cases that were	This was an oversight on a
collect the correct amount	granted Drivers Safety Course	fee increasing. However, the statute
on 131 reviewed traffic	requests to verify if the correct	also says (f) In addition to court
cases that were granted	amount was collected and disbursed	costs and fees authorized or imposed by a law of this state and
Drivers Safety Course	to the county. Any cases that were	applicable to the offense, the
requests. \$113 was	underpaid by \$2.00 should be	court may: (1) require a defendant
collected on these cases,	adjusted to reflect the correct fee	requesting a course under Subsection (b) to pay an
and \$115 should have been collected, therefore	amounts or an additional \$2.00 should be collected from the	administrative fee set by the
the 131 cases were	defendants.	court to cover the cost of administering this article at an
underpaid by \$2.00. After	defendants.	amount of not more than \$10;'
the cases were adjusted,	B. Internal Control Change:	Once brought to the attention of the
the fees were pro-rated,	Internal controls should be	Court Administrator on October 8,
which caused the payment		2015, adjustments were made on
to the county to be	charged and collected from the	any and all cases where Drivers
, distributed incorrectly.	public are in line with the approved	Safety Course was requested to pay
	fee schedule and statutes.	the required \$2 fee from the <i>'not</i>
Effect:		<i>more than \$10 administrative fee'</i> that was collected. These
The defendants were given		adjustments were reported to the
inaccurate assessments		auditor's office for correct
which caused them to		disbursement on October 15, 2015.
underpay for their offense.		The amount of \$115 has been
After the cases were		collected from that day forward.
adjusted, this caused the		,
fees to be pro-rated.		B. <u>Response:</u>
Therefore the county did		See above
not receive the correct		
distribution of fees.		
Cause:		
After 1/1/14, the		Status of Recommendation:
Defendant's request for		See above – And also attached
Driver Safety Course Form		adjustments/receipt journals and
should have been updated		email forwarding this information to
to state the defendant will		the Auditor's office.
pay \$115, not \$113. The		
incorrect payments		
collected caused state fees		
to be incorrectly pro-rated		
and not completely		
collected and disbursed.		

Observations and Recommendations

Criteria:	
Fees should be assessed	
and collected according to	
the legislative statutes	
based on the type of	
offenses and services	
provided. According to	
statute, "(b) A person	
convicted in municipal or	
justice court of an offense,	
other than an offense	
relating to a pedestrian or	
the parking of a motor	
vehicle, shall pay as a court	
cost \$2 in addition to other	
court costs." Therefore on	
the traffic cases that were	
granted Drivers Safety	
Course requests there	
should have been an	
additional \$2 collected and	
disbursed after 1/1/14.	